Sulfur: More than Rate and Timing: Choose the Right Source Too



Daniel Kaiser Associate Professor Department of Soil, Water and Climate

U of M Twin Cities 612-624-3482 dekaiser@umn.edu



SOURCE OF SULFUR

- Sulfur is taken up as sulfate
- Fertilizers may contain
 - Sulfate
 - Elemental Sulfur
 - Thiosulfate
- Elemental sulfur must be oxidized to sulfate
 - Depends on temperature, soil moisture, size of particles
- Soil organic sulfur needs to be mineralized
- Manure availability is not well known (~65% available?)





WHY THE INCREASE IN ELEMENTAL S PRODUCTS?





There are literally mountains of elemental S out there

https://www.businessinsider.com/thereare-mountains-of-sulfur-growing-in-theoil-sands-just-waiting-for-demand-toincrease-2012-4





 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2020 Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved.

WHAT HAPPENS WHEN ELEMENTAL S IS OXIDIZED



https://sciences.adelaide.edu.au/fertiliser/system/files/med ia/documents/2020-01/factsheet-oxidation-of-elementalsulfur-in-soils.pdf

Optimum temperature ~90 °F



PARTICLE SIZE MATTERS

- Larger sized particles have less surface area for oxidation to occur
- The majority of products have particle sizes between 40 and 75 um
- Soil dispersion of the material is important
- Elemental sulfur is hydrophobic and will not dissolve in water
- Incorporation of material into the soil can limit dispersion



Figure 3. Effect of elemental S (S°) particle size on the rate of oxidation in soil at 30°C and where particles were dispersed throughout the soil (Watkinson and Blair 1993). \bigcirc - 38-75 µm diameter, \triangle - 125-150 µm, and \square - 212-250 µm.

https://sciences.adelaide.edu.au/fertiliser/system/files/media/documents/2020-01/factsheet-oxidation-of-elemental-sulfur-in-soils.pdf





SOURCE OF SULFUR'S IMPACT ON CORN YIELD

2022

| Site | Control | K-Sulfate | K-MST | Tiger 90 |
|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| | I | oushels per a | acre at 15.5% | |
| Becker | 186 | 187 | 181 | 192 |
| Morris | 195 | 192 | 201 | 202 |
| Rosemount | 160c | 206a | 196ab | 191b |
| Waseca | 110c | 159a | 149b | 149b |

4-year average

| Site | Control | K-Sulfate | K-MST | Tiger 90 |
|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| | I | bushels per a | acre at 15.5% | , D |
| Becker | 197 | 200 | 196 | 197 |
| Morris | 201 | 201 | 200 | 203 |
| Rosemount | 186b** | 207a | 207a | 201a |
| Waseca | 119c** | 177a | 174a | 153b |

- Source responses at Rosemount and Waseca
 - Typically Sulfate = MST > Tiger 90 > control (Tiger 90 = other S at Rosemount)
 - Year x source interaction were significant at Rosemount and Waseca
- Tiger 90 seemed to get better at providing S over time at Rosemount but not at Waseca.
 - Tiger 90 could increase yield ~ 2/3 that of sulfate or MST



DISPERSION OF ELEMENTAL S WITHIN SOILS







(a) Soluble nutrients (N, P) diffuse out and granule collapses. Not all S^o particles are exposed to the soil (some surface is "masked") – oxidation slow





(b) Soluble nutrients (N, P) diffuse out and granule collapses. Because of the lower S^o content, the surface of all particles is exposed— oxidation fast

https://sciences.adelaide.edu.au/fertiliser/system/files/media/documents/2020-01/factsheet-oxidation-of-elemental-sulfur-in-soils.pdf





DISPERSION OF ELEMENTAL S WITHIN SOILS



Reducing the amount of elemental S in a granule can greatly increase the potential for oxidation.



(a) Soluble nutrients (N, P) diffuse out and granule collapses. Not all S^o particles are exposed to the soil (some surface is "masked") – oxidation slow



00000

(b) Soluble nutrients (N, P) diffuse out and granule collapses. Because of the lower S^o content, the surface of all particles is exposed— oxidation fast

https://sciences.adelaide.edu.au/fertiliser/system/files/media/documents/2020-01/factsheet-oxidation-of-elemental-sulfur-in-soils.pdf



© 2020 Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved.

FORAGE YIELD RESPONSE TO S

ROSEMOUNT LTS ALFALFA TRIAL

2020-2021: 2 cuttings

| Sulfur | Source of Sulfur | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|----------|
| Rate | Control | K ₂ SO ₄ | K-MST | Rate AVG |
| lb/ac | Alfalfa for | Alfalfa forage Yield - Ibs per acre | | |
| 10 | 5944 | 6859 | 6624 | 6476 |
| 20 | 5710 | 7518 | 7076 | 6768 |
| 30 | 5981 | 6817 | 6752 | 6517 |
| Source Avg. ¹ | 5878b | 7065a | 6818a | |

+1064 lbs Forage with S

@\$150/ton returns ~ \$80/ac

@\$0.50/lb S and assuming \$6/ac spreading

10 lbs S returned ~ \$69/ac

2021-22: 4 cuttings

| Sulfur | Source of Sulfur | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|----------|
| Rate | Control | K ₂ SO ₄ | K-MST | Rate AVG |
| lb/ac | Alfalfa for | Alfalfa forage Yield - Ibs per acre | | |
| 10 | 12751 | 16047 | 15703 | 14833b |
| 20 | 13328 | 16648 | 16572 | 15516ab |
| 30 | 13383 | 16951 | 16951 | 15803a |
| Source Avg. ¹ | 13154b | 16548a | 16450a | |

+3345 lbs Forage with S @\$150/ton returns ~ \$251/ac @\$0.50/lb S and assuming \$6/ac spreading 20 lbs S returned ~ \$235/ac

10-20 lbs S returned ~ \$304/ac over 2 years

University of Minnesota | Extension

DRY FORAGE YIELD BROKEN DOWN BY SULFUR APPLICATION LTS ALFALFA TRIAL







Time = <0.001S Source = 0.24Time x Source = 0.05**Rosemount** Time = <0.001S Source = 0.05Time x Source <0.01**Waseca** Time = <0.001S Source < 0.001Time x Source <0.001

P > F

Becker



Units are given as Micrograms S 10cm⁻² 10cm⁻¹



Data are collected from the 10 lb S application rates



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA | EXTENSION

© 2020 Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved.

ARE ALL ELEMENTAL S PRODUCTS EQUAL?

- Concentration of elemental S in the material is important when it comes to dispersion of material within the soil
- Particle size dictates oxidation rate after dispersion (Degryse et al., 2016)
 - Tiger 90 Ranges from 30-130 um, estimated median 60 um
 - Microessentials S10/S15 Ranges 25-100 um, estimated median 56 um
 - Microessentials also contains ammonium sulfate (AMS)
 - MST (Sulvaris/Nutrien) ~ Ranges from 1-15 um, granular products average 15 um
- For co-granulated products the carrier material can also impact availablity due to differences in dissolution of the product in the soil
 - P based fertilizers like MAP or DAP do not dissolve quickly





CORN GROWTH AND GREENNESS

- No consistent impact of S on plant greenness at Becker and Morris in 2020
- MST was generally not as effective as sulfate in 2019
 - More comparable to sulfate in 2020
- Rosemount results are similar to Waseca results shown

SITY OF MINNESOTA | EXTENSION





Crop Circle NDRE (V6)

FALL VERSUS SPRING APPLICATION

Fall 10 lb S/ac 169a Spring 163b 200 а Corn Grain Yield (bu/ac) ab abc abcd bcd cde 150 100 50 Λ AMS AMS/ Tiger90 SulfurMax SulfurMax No Sulfur Tiger90 No Inc. 147c 178a 171ab 165ab 173ab 162b

Rosemount/Waseca 2022

- The sulfate anion will leach in soils
- Sulfate tends to leach at a slower rate than nitrate
- Elemental S is typically what I find most growers go to for fall application
 - Availability is an issue
- Data isn't always straightforward on effects of timing
 - High application rates make timing less critical even with sulfate
- Products like Tiger 90 may be better not incorporated in the fall
 - P and K are better incorporated if you can



RATE OF SULFUR'S IMPACT ON CORN YIELD

2022

| Site 5 lbs S | | 10 lbs S | 20 lbs S | |
|--------------|--------|---------------------------|----------|--|
| | bushel | bushels per acre at 15.5% | | |
| Becker | 177 | 192 | 190 | |
| Morris | 200 | 202 | 190 | |
| Rosemount | 181b | 179b | 205a | |
| Waseca | 133b | 142ab | 150a | |

4-year average

| Site | 5 lbs S | 10 lbs S | 20 lbs S |
|-----------|---------|---------------|----------|
| | bushel | s per acre at | 15.5% |
| Becker | 189b | 200a | 202a |
| Morris | 201 | 201 | 202 |
| Rosemount | 197b@ | 195b | 209a |
| Waseca | 147b@** | 157a | 162a |

• Rate of sulfur application has consistently differed at Becker and Waseca

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA | EXTENSION

- Rate x year interaction was significant at Waseca less S was needed in early years compared to later.
- Rate x source interaction was significant at Rosemount and Waseca

PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER

- The availability of elemental S from Tiger 90 can range from 25-100% the year it is applied
 - Higher clay soils present a greater problem for oxidation of elemental S
- Elemental sulfur in co-granulated products appear to have similar effectiveness as sulfate
 - Potassium and ammonium sulfate have similar availabilities
 - Gypsum products can vary in solubility but most of the time they should be 100% available
- A product like Tiger 90 needs some additional thought on how to manage the product
 - If it takes 4x the product, is it economically feasible?
- Low rates of sulfate applied in the spring can be highly effective at increasing corn grain yield

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA | EXTENSION

ELEMENTAL S OXIDATION – LONG TERM



ELEMENTAL S STRATEGIES FOR USE

- Elemental S must be broken down into smaller particles before it can be effectively oxidized
- Likely more effective to not incorporate sulfur+bentonite products if applying in the fall to allow for the bentonite in the product to absorb water, swell, and disperse the elemental S
 - Surface application without incorporation is not ideal for fall fertilizer application, particularly on sloping ground or situations where water is more likely to move rapidly off a field
- Oxidation of elemental S in co-granulated products is limited by the particle size of the elemental S and will not be greatly impacted whether the material is incorporated or not.



THIOSULFATE IS PART ELEMENTAL S BUT IT IS **NOT THE SAME AS GRANULAR FERTILIZER**



- Sulfate ions



Sulfate Ion is plant available





© 2020 Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved.

CORN GRAIN YIELD DATA BY SOIL ORGANIC MATTER LEVEL – CONTINUOUS CORN



| | Low (<4.0% SOM) | | | High (>4.0% SOM) | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------------|
| S Rate | AMS-Br | ATS-Br | ATS-Ba | Avg.‡ | AMS-Br | ATS-Br | ATS-Ba | Avg.‡ |
| -lb S/ac- | cbu/acbu/ac | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 227 | 226 | 229 | 227b | 212 | 211 | 209 | 211c |
| 2.5 | 230 | 231 | 221 | 227b | 214 | 213 | 216 | 215bc |
| 5.0 | 231 | 228 | 232 | 230ab | 221 | 215 | 217 | 217ab |
| 10.0 | 231 | 233 | 231 | 231ab | 215 | 221 | 212 | 216b |
| 20.0 | 231 | 237 | 228 | 232a | 224 | 216 | 223 | 221a |
| Avg.‡ | 230ab | 231a | 228b | | 217 | 215 | 216 | |

† Sulfur source: ATS-Ba, Ammonium thiosulfate banded; ATS-Br, ammonium thiosulfate broadcast; AMS-Br, ammonium sulfate broadcast.

 \pm Avg., treatment mean; within rows and columns, numbers followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the P<0.05 probability level.





ACIDIFICATION CAN OCCUR FOLLOWING SULFUR APPLICATION

Table I. Lime required to neutralize the soil acidity produced by fertilizers if all ammonium-N is converted to nitrate-N.

| Nitrogen source | Composition | Lime required (Ib CaCO ₃ /Ib N) |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Anhydrous ammonia | 82-0-0 | 1.8 |
| Urea | 46-0-0 | 1.8 |
| Ammonium nitrate | 34-0-0 | 1.8 |
| Ammonium sulfate | 21-0-0-24 | 5.4 |
| Monoammonium phosphate | 10-52-0 | 5.4 |
| Diammonium phosphate | 18-46-0 | 3.6 |
| Triple super phosphate | 0-46-0 | 0.0 |
| Adapte | d from Havlin et al | 1999 |

Adapted from Havlin et al., 1999.

https://extensionpublications.unl.edu/assets/html/g1503/build/g1503.htm

Sulfur sources

- 3 lb CaCo3 / 1 lb S
- Thiosulfate is less than elemental S
- Calcium and potassium sulfate are neutral salts and will not affect pH
- With more S being applied soil pH should be monitored and lime application may be required
 - Strongly buffered high pH soils should not be impacted



DO YOU NEED TO APPLY SULFUR IF YOU ARE APPLYING MAP OR DAP?

- Sulfuric acid is used to treat rock phosphate in order to create P fertilizer and can leave S as an impurity in MAP or DAP
- MAP and DAP will contain roughly 1-3% total S which is not accounted for on the fertilizer analysis
 - This sulfur is available
 - Liquid fertilizer vary considerably in S contamination
 - Low salt sources have less S

| Nitrogen source | Composition | Total S content (%) |
|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Triple Superphosphate | 0-46-0 | 1.8, 1.9 |
| MAP | 10-52-0 | 1.8, 2.2, 1.8 |
| DAP | 18-46-0 | 1.2, 1.5 |
| Liquid | 3-18-18 | 0.009, 0.01 |
| Liquid | 6-24-6 | 0.06, 0.8 |
| Liquid | 10-34-0 | 0.84 |
| Liquid | 9-18-9 | 0.05 |

Total Sulfur content of Some P Fertilizers

Total S in solid fertilizer tested using dry combustion analysis Total S in liquid fertilizers measured directly via ICP Source: Kaiser 2022



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA | EXTENSION

P-K-S Study Red Wing, MN 6/23/15

the bulac

Agricultural Fertilizer Research & Education Council

235/bu/ac. . .

WRAPPING UP

- The more MAP or DAP applied the less likely you need to be worried about applying high rates of sulfur
 - Removal rates of P will likely apply around 5 lbs of S due to S impurities
- Elemental sulfur as Tiger 90 will likely be less effective for high clay soils
 Even long-term I doubt you will see much more than 25-33% of the product oxidized
- The co-granulated products seem to be a good choice if a grower wants to use elemental S, but at what cost?
 - Stick to the lower end of the suggested S application rate window (~10 lbs)
- ATS is a good option as well
- The good news is there are several options when applying S!



Key Growth Stages for Sulfur Uptake in Corn







Daniel Kaiser University of Minnesota 612-624-3482 <u>dekaiser@umn.edu</u> http://z.umn.edu/nutrientmgmt